	WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESI	TAU	(CCR) IN	SPECII	ON REPORT
Date:_	6-27-23 Inspector SKB BANSING	LAND Om	FICL		-
Time:_		1,	76	•	
		Yes	No		Notes
רירש ז	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR \$257.84)		1 210		140162
1_	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or				
<b>_</b> -	localized settlement observed on the			1	•
-	sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing			<u></u>	
	CCR?		&	71.	
- 2	Were conditions observed within the cells				
	containing CCR or within the general landfill			1 _	
	operations that represent a potential disruption				Ç
	to ongoing CCR management operations?			j	
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or				
	within the general landfill operations that				
	represent a potential disruption of the safety of				
	the CCR management operations.	1	し		
CRF	igitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4))				
4_	Was CCR received during the reporting			/.	
	period? If answer is no, no additional	1			
	information required.		i		
5-	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust			<del></del>	
	suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?		-		
6_	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR				
	conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to				•
	landfill working face, or was the CCR not	ł			
	susceptable to fugitive dust generation?		Ì		
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on			<del></del>	
7-	landfill access roads?		}		-
8_	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the				
<b></b>	landfill? If the answer is yes, describe		1	•	
	corrective action measures below.	.	ŀ		-
9-	Are current CCR fugitive dust control	<del></del>		<del> </del>	
	measures effective? If the answer is no,	1	1		
	describe recommended changes below.				
10_	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen				
	complaints received during the reporting	1			
	period? If the answer is yes, answer question	- 1			
II.	Were the citizen complaints logged?				
	1 TO OTTEN CONTINUED TORRECTI	1	J		

•	
	 •
•	
	•

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT NSING LANDFILL Weather Conditions: Yes No CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR 5257.84) Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing 2 Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations? 3\_ Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations. CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4)) Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required. Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill? 6\_ If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation? 7\_ Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads? 8\_ Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below. 9\_ Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below. 10\_ Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question 11. Were the citizen complaints logged?

Parallional Notes:	
•	
	:

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SER LANSING LANDFILL Inspector Weather Conditions: Yes No Notes CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84) Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing Were conditions observed within the cells 2 containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations? Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations. CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4)) Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresents) prior to delivery to landfill? 6. If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation? ブ<u></u> Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on Iandfill access roads? 8\_ Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below. 9. Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below. IO. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question Were the citizen complaints logged? 11\_

0:\Waste Connections\Lansing\CCR Flan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10\_2015 xlsx

Additional Notes:

· WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

SER LANSING LANDFILL

Inspector.

Weather Conditions: \_ -Yes No Notes CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84) Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing Were conditions observed within the cells 2 containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations? 3. Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations. CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4)) Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required. Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresents) prior to delivery to landfill? 6. If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation? 7\_ Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads? Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below. 9\_ Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below. IO\_ Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question 11. Were the citizen complaints logged? Additional Notes:

Q:\Waste Connections\Lansing\CCR Plan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10\_2015.xls=

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SKI LANSING LANDFILL Weather Conditions: \_ - Clou Yes No Notes CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR 5257.84) Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing Were conditions observed within the cells 2 containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations? Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations. CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4)) Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust 5. suppresents) prior to delivery to landfill? 6. If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation? 7. Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads? Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below. Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below. 10\_ Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question 11. Were the citizen complaints logged? Additional Notes:

Q:\Waste Connections\Lansing\CCR Plan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10\_2015:xls=